MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Permitting and Compliance Division
Waste and Underground Tank Management Bureau
Solid Waste Management Section
Metcalf Building
PO Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620-0901

CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT SEPTIC TANK PUMPERS

1. PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED FOR AGENCY ACTION:

Mr. Bo Dee Pitman of TOBACCO VALLEY SEPTIC SERVICE has submitted an application for a Montana Septic Tank Pumpers License (STP License S-1007). TOBACCO VALLEY SEPTIC SERVICE is located in Lincoln County and proposes to do business only in Lincoln County.

The purpose of this checklist Environmental Assessment (EA) is to document environmental issues related to the disposal of septage waste on Richard Paul Luciano Property located in Lincoln County in the SE1/4 of Section 4, T36N, R27W.

2.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION:						
	Septic Tank Pumper Disposal site application for a:						
	(check one only and complete a separate assessment for each site)						
	Wastewater Treatment Facility						
	Land Application Site						
	Septage Processor or Composter						
	Class II Landfill						
	other (specify)						
3.	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE (O&M) PLAN:						
	Source, Types and Amount of Wastes:						
	Septage – estimated total gal/year 86,500						
	☐ Portable Toilet/Vault Toilet Type Waste – estimated total gal/year						
	Grease Trap Waste - estimated total gal/year						
	Sump Pumpings (specify type below)						
	Automatic Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Attended Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Unattended Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Other Sump, specify: estimated total gal/yr						

4. Disposal Site Characteristics:

YES	NO	N/A					
\boxtimes			Legal description of proposed disposal site location provided?				
\boxtimes			General description of disposal site location provided?				
\boxtimes			Acreage adequate to manage proposed waste volume?				
\boxtimes			Total proposed volume less than/equal to AAR?				
			Setback boundaries detailed on site map? (buildings, roads, drainages)				
\boxtimes			Distance to building greater than 500-feet?				
X			Distance to state surface water greater than 150-feet?				
	\boxtimes		Distance to state, federal, county, or city maintained road greater than 100-feet?				
\boxtimes			Distance to drinking water supply source greater than 100-feet?				
\boxtimes			Slope of land surface less than 6-percent? (subsurface injection less than 12%)				
			0-3%				
\boxtimes			Depth to ground water greater than 6-feet? 200ft				
\boxtimes			Landowner's permission of site use provided?				
\boxtimes			VAPR method provided?				
			AAR calculation provided? If not, then: AAR = 75/0.0026 = 28,846 gal/acre for septage				
\boxtimes			Crop/yield information provided? Grass @ 75#N/acre				
X			Current use of proposed disposal site noted?				
		\boxtimes	Approval available from WWTP within 25 miles of the point of generation during				
			year when ground frozen or snow covered?				
\boxtimes			Disposal site property ownership information provided?				
\boxtimes			Site restrictions noted?				
			Animal Grazing				
\boxtimes			Crop Harvesting				
			List of equipment to manage wastes provided? Disk w/tractor				
\boxtimes			Site access control noted? Gate				
\boxtimes			VAPR method noted?				
\boxtimes			VAPR method adequate for site conditions?				
If 'NO)' che	cked for	r one or more items, provide explanation below				
Prope	Property within 100 ft of Hwy 37 - Pumper will ensure 100 ft setback from Hwy for application area.						
AAR calculated by Department based on licensee information.							
AAK C	аісиіа	tea by 1	Department basea on ticensee information.				

5. DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES WHENEVER ALTERNATIVES ARE REASONABLY AVAILABLE AND PRUDENT TO CONSIDER:

The Department considered three alternatives:

- 1. Approve the disposal site. The site meets all of the requirements of the Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act (Act). The site soils, slope, depth to ground water, approvals, and setback requirements have been met.
- 2.

 Not approved. The land application site fails to meet the requirements of the Act.
- 3.
 Have the applicant seek an alternative site. If this alternative is chosen, the applicant will have to seek another site for land application and could spend a large amount of time and potentially money to locate, study, and license another site suitable for septage land application.

6. EVALUATION OF MITIGATION, STIPULATIONS AND OTHER CONTROLS ENFORCEABLE BY THE AGENCY OR ANOTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY:

The proposed land application site and operation and maintenance plan must meet the requirements of the Montana Septic Tank, Cesspool, and Privy Cleaners Act, Air and Water Quality Acts and other Montana environmental laws and regulations as well as County ordinances. Compliance with these laws and regulations should keep any environmental effects to a minimum. The licensee will operate the site under the guidelines of the approved Operations Plan.

7. FINDINGS:

The Department finds that there would be little or no impacts to the physical and human environment if the septage is treated in a manner consistent with the rules and regulations. Therefore, a checklist EA is the appropriate level of analysis and an Environmental Impact Statement is not needed. This treatment option is a beneficial reuse of a waste product.

EA prepared by: Renai Hill

Date: June 7, 2007

TABLE 1. Predicted Impacts of the Proposed Project on the Physical & Biological Environments.

	LEVEL OF IMPACT ¹					
RESOURCE	Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Appendix
1. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitat				✓		
2. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution				~		
3. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability and Moisture				~		
4. Vegetation Cover, Quantity and Quality				~		
5. Aesthetics				~		
6. Air Quality				~		
7. Unique, Endangered, Fragile or Limited Environmental Resources				~		
8. Demands on Environmental Resources of Water, Air, and Energy				~		
9. Historical and Archaeological Sites				✓		

¹ CUMULATIVE IMPACTS: None for the Proposed Project, because compliance with the Montana Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act mitigates impacts on human health and the environment and no other projects affect the area.

TABLE 2. Predicted Impacts of the Proposed Project on the Social & Economic Environments.

	LEVEL OF IMPACT ¹						
RESOURCE	Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Appendix	
1. Social Structure and Mores				~			
2. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity				~			
3. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue				~			
4. Agricultural or Industrial Production				~			
5. Human Health				~			
6. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities				~			
				~			
7. Quantity and Distribution of Employment							
8. Distribution of Population				~			
9. Demands for Government Services				>			
10. Industrial and Commercial Activity				~			
11. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals				~			

¹ CUMULATIVE IMPACTS: None for Proposed Project, because compliance with the Montana Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act mitigates impacts on human health and the environment and no other projects affect the area.

Figure 1: TOBACCO VALLEY SEPTIC SERVICE
STP License #S-1007
Land Application Site Location
Lincoln County – Richard Paul Luciano Property
Sections 4, T36N, R27W

